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Motivation
Exascale computing brings with it diverse machine architectures and programming approaches which challenge application developers. Applications need to perform well on a wide range of architectures while simultaneously minimizing development and maintenance overheads. Current metrics of application efficiency focus on machine-specific performance, rather than addressing performance, portability, and productivity (PP). We create and apply a methodology for capturing the data necessary to assess productivity and use an established method for performance and portability.

Metric for Performance Portability
Performance portability (PP) can be defined as “A measurement of an application’s performance efficiency for a given problem that can be executed correctly on all platforms in a given set.” [1]. One metric proposed to quantify PP uses the harmonic mean, shown in Equation 1.

\[
PP = \frac{1}{\sum_{p \in H} \frac{1}{\epsilon(a, p)}}
\]

Equation 1: Performance Portability

Path to Performance Portability and Productivity
In order to maximize PP each application must be optimized to run well on each platform but be general enough to run on many platforms. A way to implement this is to have a separate code path for each platform. This method, however, increases maintenance overhead with every platform added; it forces developers to fix every bug and implement every feature separately for each platform. There are several approaches that have been developed to reduce the number of code paths, such as OpenMP, Kokkos, and RAJA.

Measure for Productivity
We create a framework to measure the productivity of development of an application. Data is collected as part of a git workflow, in which developers answer questions after each commit, directly in which developers answer questions after each commit, directly

Figure 1: Logs are made during normal workflow, then extracted in post-processing correlating data to a snapshot of the project state. The questions track the amount of time spent on and the difficulty of specific types of tasks and include a modified NASA Task Load Index (NASA-TLX) [2] to capture user perceptions of progress for the commit. The information is combined to expose the relationships between components of a developer’s work and measure the overall productivity while improving an application’s PP. All variables collected can be seen in Figure 5.

Case Study: VPIC
VPIC is a particle-in-cell plasma physics model. The code tracks particles and electric and magnetic fields through a structured grid. VPIC runs at large scales and on many CPU platforms, operating with upwards of 2 million MPI ranks and 7 trillion particles [4], as well as leveraging threads. VPIC currently lacks a method to offload work to most accelerators. This is the first reported work towards porting VPIC to graphics processing units (GPUs). As a case study, the performance portability and the effort (Productivity) of porting VPIC into a PP framework was measured. For the project Kokkos [5] was chosen as the PP framework as it is the most mature with the features required. One kernel in VPIC (advance_b) was converted and analyzed in Table 1.

Preliminary Productivity Results
The data used in Figures 3, 4, and 5 consists of 16 variables over 36 commits made by one author. In Figures 3, 4 each dot is representative of a single commit.

Figure 5: This figure includes all productivity variables captured on each commit. The coloring varies from dark blue (positively correlated) to white (randomly correlated) to dark green (negatively correlated)

In Figures 3, 4, and 5 it is shown that we are able to capture and analyze metrics and show correlation between some variables. In Figure 5 it is shown that Planning Time and Coding Time have a correlation of 0.9. TLX-Effort, TLX-Frustration and TLX-Mental demand are also highly correlated. This may indicate that some of these questions can be removed without impact to the overall data which would decrease the amount of time to complete the survey. In future work, the productivity measures that were created will be combined into a productivity metric that could be predictive of effort needed for PP.
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